

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/507,629	02/18/2000	Meihua Shen	1945.P3/USA/SILICON/JB	7912
21861 7590 05/11/2004 JANAH & ASSOCIATES A PROFESSIONAL CORP 650 DELANCEY STREET			EXAMINER	
			OLSEN, ALLAN W	
SUITE 106 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 941072001		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1763	_
			DATE MAILED: 05/11/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
				EXAMINER	
			ART UNIT	PAPER	
				20040413	
			DATE MAILEI)·	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner for Patents

The examiner thanks applicant for providing copies of the initialed and signed Information Disclosure Statements which were not legible in the Office's image file of this application. Applicant indicated that they had not received an initialed copy of the IDS page (page 6 of 6) with the heading "PENDING U.S. PATENT APPLICATIONS". The examiner notes that the Office's image file of this page is largely illegible, nevertheless, it is readily apparent that is had been initialed. The examiner also notes that all of the applications which applicant had cited as "pending" have now issued as U.S. Patents. Of the nine cited pending applications which have since issued as U.S. Patents, only the first (reference D1) has had the corresponding U.S. Patent cited on a subsequent IDS. As the non-initialed copy which applicant recently provided is, in part, also illegiblet, the examiner is ensuring that the consideration of these documents is duly noted by now citing on a PTO form 892, the eight patents that correspond to eight pending applications that heretofore have not had their corresponding issued patent cited on either a PTO form 892 or 1449.

Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1763

O9/507,629 SHEN ET AL.	Response to Rule 312 Communication		Application No. Applicant(s)						
Allan Olsen The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address − 1. ☑ The amendment filed on 20 March 2004 under 37 CFR 1.312 has been considered, and has been: a) ☐ entered. b) ☑ entered as directed to matters of form not affecting the scope of the invention. c) ☐ disapproved because the amendment was filed after the payment of the issue fee. Any amendment filed after the date the issue fee is paid must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.313(c)(1) and the required fee to withdraw the application from issue.			09/507,629	SHEN ET AL.					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address — 1. The amendment filed on 20 March 2004 under 37 CFR 1.312 has been considered, and has been: a) entered. b) entered as directed to matters of form not affecting the scope of the invention. c) disapproved because the amendment was filed after the payment of the issue fee. Any amendment filed after the date the issue fee is paid must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.313(c)(1) and the required fee to withdraw the application from issue. d) disapproved. See explanation below.			Examiner	Art Unit					
 The amendment filed on 20 March 2004 under 37 CFR 1.312 has been considered, and has been: entered. entered as directed to matters of form not affecting the scope of the invention. disapproved because the amendment was filed after the payment of the issue fee.			Allan Olsen	1763					
 a) □ entered. b) ⊠ entered as directed to matters of form not affecting the scope of the invention. c) □ disapproved because the amendment was filed after the payment of the issue fee. Any amendment filed after the date the issue fee is paid must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.313(c)(1) and the required fee to withdraw the application from issue. d) □ disapproved. See explanation below. 									
 c) disapproved because the amendment was filed after the payment of the issue fee. Any amendment filed after the date the issue fee is paid must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.313(c)(1 and the required fee to withdraw the application from issue. d) disapproved. See explanation below. 			1.312 has been considered, and has	been:					
Any amendment filed after the date the issue fee is paid must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.313(c)(1 and the required fee to withdraw the application from issue. d) disapproved. See explanation below.	b) 🖾	entered as directed to matters of form not affecting the scope of the invention.							
	c) 🗌	disapproved because the amendment was filed after the payment of the issue fee. Any amendment filed after the date the issue fee is paid must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.313(c)(1)							
e) entered in part. See explanation below.	d) 🗌	disapproved. See explanation below.							
	e) 🗌	entered in part. See explanation below.							
Allan Olsen Primary Examiner			M. O. Com.	Allan Olsen					